



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/15/2006

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/647,158	08/21/2003	Yuh-Shen Song	7443-101	1808
	167 7	590 03/15/2006		EXAMINER	
FULBRIGHT AND JAWORSKI LLP			 -	KRAMER, JAMES A	
	555 S. FLOWER STREET, 41ST FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90071		OK	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3627	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/647,158	SONG ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit	-	
James A. Kramer	3627		

•	Examino	Air Oille						
	James A. Kramer	3627						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 28 February 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following								
time periods: a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection							
		in the final rejection, wh	ichever is later. In					
b) Lightharpoonup The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date								
nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
NOTICE OF APPEAL	olionos vide 27 OFD 44 27 months	Eleder Meter Constitution						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
AMENDMENTS								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	· ·		ecause					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	•	TE below);						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	•	dualas au aimalifika	tha iaawaa faa					
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	aucing or simplifying	tne issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		out oranno.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			(
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the					
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro 		ll be entered and an e	explanation of					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) bijected to:								
Claim(s) rejected:								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	nt before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	of be entered s necessary and					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio								
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		,						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:								
See Continuation Sheet.								
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).								
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	mathum	y 3/10/06						
	1							

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but are not persuasive. Applicant asserts that Wheeler does not teach or suggest reading financial information from a 1st card and identification information from a 2nd card, wherein the 1st and 2nd card are physically separate.

Examiner agrees that Wheeler's primary embodiment teaches one physical card containing all this information, however Examiner believes that the teachings of Wheeler do in fact render Applicant's claimed invention obvious. For instance, Wheeler teaches additional verification factors (Factors B and C) which in certain embodiments are physically separate from the primary card. As such, this information is combined with the financial information and sent through a network for verification. Examiner takes the position that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify these additional, physically separate identification factors to include information read from a government issue card.